

streams increasing erosion. Loss of wildlife and increases in noise and air pollution will occur (284 pages). (ELR Order No. 31672) (NTIS Order No. EIS 73 1672-D.)

U.S.H. 151 and S.T.H. 73, Dane, Columbia, and Dodge Counties, Wis., October 24: The project proposes the improvement of a 16 mile section of U.S.H. 151 and a 1.5 mile relocation of S.T.H. 73. The facilities will be four-lane divided highways. Land acquisition totals 521 acres of farmland, 74 acres of wetland, and 25 acres of woodland. Four families have been displaced. The facility will traverse a number of streams and rivers increasing erosion, siltation, and salt pollution by roadway runoff. Other adverse impacts are: loss of wildlife habitat and increases in noise, air, and water pollution (117 pages). (ELR Order No. 31683) (NTIS Order No. EIS 73 1683-D.)

Final

SR 80, Palm Beach County, Fla., October 25: The proposed project is the improvement of SR 80. Depending upon the alternate chosen, the project will: vary in length 23.7 to 24.3 miles; acquire 317.3 to 392 acres of land; and displace 14 to 31 families and 19 to 60 businesses. Construction of the facility may affect the drainage system and water table. Increases in noise and air pollution levels will occur (96 pages). Comments made by: USDA, DOI, EPA, HUD, and State agencies. (ELR Order No. 31698) (NTIS Order No. EIS 73 1698-F.)

US-54, Sedgwick County, Kans., October 25: The statement refers to the proposed reconstruction of US 54 between 279th Street west and Seville Avenue to provide a freeway facility with full control of access, interchanges, grade separations, and frontage roads as required. Project length is approximately 12 miles. The number of displacements will depend upon the route selected (170 pages). Comments made by: USDA, COE, DOC, DOI, DOT, EPA, and one State agency. (ELR Order No. 31696) (NTIS Order No. EIS 73 1696-F.)

Legislative Route 1003, Section 3, Erie County, Pa., October 25: The statement considers the construction of 4-lane L.R. 1003 (Interstate 79) from the 26th Street Interchange to the 12th Street Interchange. The amount of land required and the number of displacements will depend upon the route taken (205 pages). Comments made by: USDA, ARC, DOI, EPA, HEW, HUD, and State agencies. (ELR Order No. 31697) (NTIS Order No. EIS 73 1697-F.)

S.R. 90—West Snoqualmie to Tanner, King County, Wash., October 24: The project is the proposed construction of a six lane freeway and appurtenances, with its major length passing through undeveloped forest, then through a portion of sparsely settled agricultural land. Free movement of wild and domestic life will be restricted, approximately 31 families will be displaced (165 pages). Comments made by: EPA, COE, USDA, DOC, HEW, HUD, DOI, and OEO. (ELR Order No. 31682) (NTIS Order No. EIS 73 1682-F.)

U.S. COAST GUARD

Contact: Captain Sidney A. Wallace (GWEP/73), U.S. Coast Guard, 400 7th Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20590, 202-426-2010.

Draft

Icebreaking Activities on the Great Lakes, October 23: The statement refers to the action of Coast Guard Icebreakers to keep navigable waters on the Great Lakes open to commerce during the winter months in order to minimize seasonal effects on commerce, industry, and other modes of transportation, to conduct search and rescue missions, and

to assist other agencies in the prevention of flooding caused by ice accumulation. The States of Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and Wisconsin, will be affected. The action may cause adverse effects on shoreline and harbor areas, and to the local lifestyle of islanders and winter sportsmen (29 pages). (ELR Order No. 31677) (NTIS Order No. EIS 73 1677-D.)

Bridge, Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway at Daytona, Volusia County, Fla., October: Proposed is the approval of location and plans for a fixed highway bridge over the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway between Flomich Street in Holly Hill and Plaza Boulevard in Daytona Beach. A total of 39 homes and 3 businesses will be displaced by the project (67 pages). (ELR Order No. 31692) (NTIS Order No. EIS 73 1692-D.)

NEIL ORLOFF,
Counsel.

[FR Doc.73-23303 Filed 10-31-73;8:45 am]

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

MISSOURI STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Agenda and Notice of Open Meeting

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Rules and Regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a meeting of the Missouri State Advisory Committee (SAC) will convene at 9 a.m. on November 9, 1973, in Room 1612, 1520 Market Street, St. Louis, Missouri 63103.

Persons wishing to attend this meeting should contact the Committee Chairman, or the Central States Regional Office, Room 3103, Old Federal Office Building, 911 Walnut Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

The purpose of this meeting shall be (1) to consider Missouri (SAC) project proposals concerning Revenue Sharing, Penal Institutions, and/or Media Studies and (2) to discuss followup activities to the recent St. Louis and Kansas City (SAC) reports.

This meeting will be conducted pursuant to the Rules and Regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, D.C., October 25, 1973.

ISAIAH T. CRESWELL,
Advisory Committee
Management Officer.

[FR Doc.73-23287 Filed 10-31-73;8:45 am]

WEST VIRGINIA STATE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Agenda and Notice of Open Meeting

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Rules and Regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a planning meeting of the West Virginia State Advisory Committee (SAC) to this Commission will convene at 11:30 a.m. on November 5, 1973, at the Heart-o-Town Motel, Broad and Washington Streets, East, Charleston, West Virginia 25301.

Persons wishing to attend this meeting should contact the Committee Chairman, or the Mid-Atlantic Regional Office of

the Commission, Room 510, 2120 L Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20425.

The purpose of this meeting shall be to begin planning a West Virginia (SAC) project on Revenue Sharing in the State of West Virginia.

This meeting will be conducted pursuant to the Rules and Regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, D.C., October 25, 1973.

ISAIAH T. CRESWELL,
Advisory Committee,
Management Officer.

[FR Doc.73-23288 Filed 10-31-73;8:45 am]

DELAWARE RIVER BASIN COMMISSION

[Docket No. D-70-25]

PROPOSED MARTIN'S CREEK STEAM ELECTRIC GENERATING STATION EXPANSION

Availability of Draft Environmental Statement

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Delaware River Basin Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (section 2-3.5.2) notice is hereby given of the availability of the draft environmental statement as of November 7, 1973, which discusses the environmental impact of the proposed expansion of the Martin's Creek Electric Generating Station located on the west bank of the Delaware River (Delaware River Mile 190.9) approximately 10 miles north of Easton, Pennsylvania, in Northampton County. The draft has been prepared by the Commission based upon the Pennsylvania Power and Light Company's environmental studies and the Commission staff's analysis of the proposed action.

The proposed development includes construction of units No. 3 and No. 4 which are oil-fired steam electric generating units each with a capacity of 800 electric megawatts, alongside two existing coal-fired operating units of 150 MW each. Units No. 3 and No. 4 are scheduled to be in operation in 1975 and 1977, respectively. Facilities to be constructed to support each of the generators would include a natural draft cooling tower 414 feet high with a water flow of 280,000 gallons per minute; a chimney 600 feet high; a transformer of 930,000 kva; a 95,000-barrel-capacity tank to store fuel oil; and water inlet works to provide a maximum of 19.6 cfs of water for each unit, of which an average of 13.7 cfs would be evaporated. Facilities constructed to support units No. 3 and No. 4 jointly, include fire protection facilities; a 12,000 barrel capacity tank for light oil; an on-site domestic waste system; a 42-acre retention pond, with an effective holding capacity of 216,000 cubic yards (132 acre feet); an additional switchyard; and new transmission lines.

Copies of the draft and the applicant's environmental report and supplements may be examined in the library at the

office of the Delaware River Basin Commission, 25 State Police Drive, Trenton, New Jersey, and in the library of the Water Resources Association of the Delaware River Basin, 21 S. 12th Street in Philadelphia. Copies of the application and draft environmental statement are available for distribution to persons or agencies upon request.

A public hearing on the proposed action will be held at the November meeting of the Delaware River Basin Commission. Formal hearing notices will be sent specifying the date, time and place at least ten days prior to the hearing.

Comments on the subject draft environmental statement may be submitted to the Delaware River Basin Commission by public or private agencies or individuals concerned with environmental quality. To be considered by the Commission, comments must be submitted no later than December 21, 1973.

W. BRINTON WHITALL,
Secretary.

OCTOBER 30, 1973.

[FR Doc.73-23314 Filed 10-31-73;8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

JUDICIAL OFFICERS

Delegation of Authority

The Judicial Officers of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) are delegated responsibility for all functions which the Administrator is required by law or regulation to perform in acting as the final deciding officer in adjudicatory proceedings under the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, the Clean Air Act, the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, the Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act of 1972, or any other authority of the Administrator. In addition, there is designated a Chief Judicial Officer who shall have referred to him, in the first instance, all matters encompassed by this delegation of authority to the Judicial Officers. The Chief Judicial Officer shall thereafter refer the proceeding to himself or another Judicial Officer, except as otherwise provided by order of the Administrator. This delegation does not affect the authority of the Administrator, the Deputy Administrator or any Assistant Administrator to perform such functions.

Michael Glenn and David A. Schuenke are hereby delegated authority to perform the functions of the EPA Judicial Officers. Michael Glenn is delegated to perform the functions of EPA's Chief Judicial Officer.

Dated: October 26, 1973.

RUSSELL E. TRAIN,
Administrator.

[FR Doc.73-23332 Filed 10-31-73;8:45 am]

MOTOR VEHICLE POLLUTION CONTROL California State Standards

The Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, by notice pub-

lished in the FEDERAL REGISTER on September 25, 1973 (38 FR 26760) and by earlier announcement and press release, called a public hearing pursuant to section 209(b) of the Clean Air Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 1857 f-6a(a)), to consider the request by the State of California that the Administrator waive application of the prohibitions of section 209(a) to the State of California with respect to State emission standards applicable to 1975 model year gasoline powered light duty trucks under 6,001 pounds g.v.w. Section 209(b) requires the Administrator to grant such waiver, after public hearing, unless he finds that the State of California does not require standards more stringent than applicable Federal standards to meet compelling and extraordinary conditions, or that such State standards and accompanying enforcement procedures are not consistent with section 202(a) of the Clean Air Act, as amended.

The public hearing was held in San Francisco, California, on October 2, 1973. The record of the public hearing was kept open until October 17, 1973, for the submission of written material, data, or arguments by interested persons.

Having given due consideration to the record of the public hearing, all material submitted for that record, and other relevant information, I find that:

(1) The State of California had, prior to March 30, 1966, adopted standards (other than crankcase emission standards) for the control of emissions from new motor vehicles and new motor vehicle engines.

(2) The State of California requires standards more stringent than applicable Federal standards to meet compelling and extraordinary conditions.

(3) The proposed California State emission standards of 0.9 gram/mile HC, 17 grams/mile CO, and 1.5 grams/mile NOx applicable to model year 1975 light duty trucks are more stringent than the applicable Federal standards of 2 grams/mile HC, 20 grams/mile CO, and 3.1 grams/mile NOx.

(4) Technology exists with which to achieve California's proposed standards for HC and CO; however, the standards are inconsistent with Section 202(a) of the Clean Air Act because the cost of compliance within the lead time remaining is excessive. This finding is based on testimony by some manufacturers that lack of adequate lead time would force their abandoning the California market for light duty trucks in model year 1975. Adequate lead time does exist to achieve those standards without excessive cost in 1976; hence those standards are consistent with section 202(a) for application to light duty trucks in model year 1976.

(5) Technology is not available to achieve California's proposed standard for NOx.

(6) The California State emission standard of 2 grams/mile NOx applicable to 1974 model year light duty vehicles is more stringent than the corresponding Federal standard of 3.1 grams/mile NOx and is achievable for light duty trucks in

the 1975 model year in conjunction with the Federal standards of 2 grams/mile HC and 20 grams/mile CO, and in the 1976 model year in conjunction with the California standards of 0.9 grams/mile HC and 17 grams/mile CO, without excessive cost.

(7) The standards of 2 grams/mile HC, 20 grams/mile CO, and 2 grams/mile NOx, when incorporated in California's total regulatory program, including related assembly-line testing and enforcement procedures, are more stringent than the corresponding Federal standards.

Therefore the following actions are hereby taken:

(1) The request of California for waiver of application of Section 209(a) with respect to its proposed standards of 0.9 grams/mile HC, 17 grams/mile CO, and 1.5 grams/mile NOx is denied;

(2) Application of Section 209(a) to California with respect to 2 grams/mile HC, 20 grams/mile CO, and 2 grams/mile NOx for model year 1975 light duty trucks is waived if California adopts such standards; and

(3) Application of Section 209(a) to California with respect to 0.9 grams/mile HC, 17 grams/mile CO, and 2 grams/mile NOx for model year 1976 light duty trucks is waived if California adopts such standards.

The standards for which waiver is granted are defined in terms of the test procedures adopted by California and included in the document California Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for 1975 and Subsequent Model Gasoline Powered Motor Vehicles 6000 Pounds Gross Vehicle Weight or Less, dated June 21, 1973. The waiver granted also includes waiver of preemption of California's assembly-line test requirements insofar as they may be associated with the standards for which waiver is granted.

Dated: October 26, 1973.

RUSSELL E. TRAIN,
Administrator.

[FR Doc.73-23295 Filed 10-31-73;8:45 am]

WEST VIRGINIA AIR QUALITY PLAN

Postponement of Public Hearing

On October 2, 1973, notice was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER advising interested persons of a section 110(f) public hearing which was to be held on November 12, 1973 in Charleston, West Virginia. The public hearing was scheduled to determine whether seven electric utility generating stations located within the State of West Virginia should be granted one year postponements from the compliance dates otherwise specified in two sections of the West Virginia Implementation Plan to Achieve and Maintain Air Quality Standards.

One of the provisions in question—Regulation X, sections 3.01 and 3.03—requires sources such as the seven electric utility stations referred to above to limit the amount of sulfur dioxide released into the air. To achieve compliance with Regulation X by the attainment dates set forth therein, some or

possibly all of the sources in question will have to install flue gas desulfurization equipment. Because of this, it is very likely that the feasibility of controlling sulfur oxides emissions through the use of flue gas desulfurization equipment (scrubbers) will be discussed in detail at the West Virginia hearing.

To enable all interested persons to address the question of scrubber technology in the most complete manner possible, the Agency with the assent of the administrative law judge, the State of West Virginia and the owners of the seven electric utility generating stations, has decided to postpone the West Virginia hearing to December 10, 1973. The hearing will still be held at the Federal courthouse in Charleston, West Virginia and will begin promptly at 9:30 a.m. local time. Notice of the specific courtroom in which the hearing will take place will be prominently posted in the main lobby of the courthouse.

The postponement of the hearing will allow the Agency, the station owners and the public a reasonable period of time in which to evaluate the testimony which is presently being given at the Agency's national hearing on scrubber technology. Since the West Virginia public hearing will be the first section 110(f) hearing to consider scrubber technology, the Agency wishes to do everything that is

required to develop a full and complete record. By postponing the West Virginia hearing until all parties have had a reasonable chance to analyze the evidence developed at the national hearing, the Agency believes this objective will have been achieved.

Under 40 CFR 51.33(k) an administrative law judge may convene a prehearing conference prior to a section 110(f) public hearing to consider such matters as the setting of a hearing schedule, the rules of procedure which will govern the hearing and the need for discovery. The administrative law judge for the West Virginia hearing has determined that a prehearing conference is needed. The prehearing conference will be held on November 12, 1973—the date previously scheduled for the commencement of the hearing—at Courtroom No. 2, U.S. Courthouse, Fifth Floor, 500 Quarrier Street, Charleston, West Virginia. The conference will begin at 9:30 a.m. local.

Persons who are parties to the hearing will receive individual notice of the prehearing conference. As noted in the amendment to 40 CFR 51.33(c) which was published at 38 FR 27287 on October 2, 1973, the period for requesting to be made a party to a section 110(f) public hearing terminates 30 days from the date the hearing is noticed in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Since notice of the

West Virginia hearing was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on October 2, 1973, the 30-day period for filing requests to be made a party to the hearing in question expires on November 2, 1973. Accordingly, only those persons whose requests to be made a party were filed with the regional hearing clerk prior to November 2, 1973, will receive individual notice of the prehearing conference. Individual notice will also be sent to persons who are automatically designated as parties under the terms of 40 CFR 51.33(a) (6).

The Civil Service Commission has designated Paul N. Pfeiffer as the administrative law judge who will preside over the Section 110(f) hearing noticed above. All written correspondence to Judge Pfeiffer should be addressed to the Department of Commerce, Room 4610, 14th and E Streets, NW., Washington, D.C. 20230. Judge Pfeiffer will have full authority to perform all of the duties set forth in the Agency's regulations governing Section 110(f) public hearings. See 40 CFR section 51.33.

Dated: October 29, 1973.

ALAN G. KIRK,
Acting Assistant Administrator
for Enforcement and General
Counsel.

[FR Doc.73-23294 Filed 10-31-73;8:45 am]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

CANADIAN BROADCAST STATIONS

Notification List

List of new stations, proposed changes in existing stations, deletions, and corrections in assignments of Canadian standard broadcast stations modifying the assignments of Canadian broadcast stations contained in the Appendix to the Recommendations of the North American Regional Broadcasting Agreement Engineering Meeting January 30, 1941.

CANADIAN LIST No. 315

OCTOBER 12, 1973

Call letters	Location	Power kw.	Antenna	Schedule	Class	Antenna height (feet)	Ground system		Proposed date of commencement of operation
							Number of radials	Length (feet)	
CFAN (change of call sign)	Newcastle, New Brunswick, N. 47°00'32", W. 65°33'01"	790 kHz	DA-1	U	III				
CFRB (now in operation with nighttime pattern change)	Toronto, Ontario, N. 43°20'22", W. 79°37'50"	1010 kHz	DA-2	U	II				
CKWX (correction to coordinates)	Vancouver, British Columbia, N. 49°10'40", W. 123°04'38"	1190 kHz	DA-N ND-D-190	U	I-B				
CKIM (assignment of call sign)	Bale Verte, Newfoundland, N. 49°57'25", W. 56°10'45"	1230 kHz	ND-180.5	U	IV	132.3	120	317	
(New)	Maniwaki, Province of Quebec, N. 46°22'40", W. 75°50'55"	1340 kHz	ND-188	U	IV	180	120	293	E.I.O. 10-12-74.
CJCR (assignment of call sign)	Gander, Newfoundland, N. 48°38'30", W. 54°26'47"	1350 kHz	ND-185	U	III	135	120	283	
CKAD (correction to coordinates)	Middleton, Nova Scotia, N. 44°59'15", W. 65°01'15"	1350 kHz	DA-1	U	III				
CFUN (change of call sign)	Vancouver, British Columbia, N. 49°06'41", W. 123°01'41"	1410 kHz	DA-2	U	III				
CJMT (increase in power—PO 1420 kHz, 1 kw., DA-1)	Chicoutimi, Province of Quebec, N. 48°24'17", W. 71°05'53"	1420 kHz	DA-N ND-D-190	U	III				E.I.O. 10-12-74.
CJOI (increase in power—PO 1440 kHz, 1 kw., DA-1)	Wetaskiwin, Alberta, N. 52°57'30", W. 113°27'00"	1440 kHz	DA-N ND-D-190	U	III				E.I.O. 10-12-74.
CFAB (correction to coordinates)	Windsor, Nova Scotia, N. 44°59'54", W. 64°00'15"	1480 kHz	ND-180	U	IV	90	120	230	
(New)	L'Annonciation, Province of Quebec, N. 46°25'29", W. 74°52'16"	1490 kHz	ND-185	U	IV	180	120	264	E.I.O. 10-12-74.

[SEAL]

WALLACE E. JOHNSON,
Chief, Broadcast Bureau,
Federal Communications Commission.

[FR Doc.73-23171 Filed 10-31-73;8:45 am]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No. CI74-183]

ANADARKO PRODUCTION CO.

Notice of Application

OCTOBER 24, 1973.

Take notice that on September 17, 1973, Anadarko Production Company (Applicant), P.O. Box 9317, Fort Worth, Texas 76107, filed in Docket No. CI74-183 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the sale for resale and delivery of natural gas in interstate commerce to Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company from acreage in Texas County, Oklahoma, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant proposes to sell up to 2,500 Mcf of gas per day to a date of one year following the first day of the month after initial delivery at the rate of 45.0 cents per Mcf at 14.65 psia, subject to Btu adjustment, within the contemplation of § 2.70 of the Commission's general policy and interpretations (18 CFR 2.70).

It appears reasonable and consistent with the public interest in this case to prescribe a period shorter than 15 days for the filing of protests and petitions to intervene. Therefore, any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before November 2, 1973, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-23264 Filed 10-31-73;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CI63-708]

CRA, INC.

Notice of Petition To Amend

OCTOBER 24, 1973.

Take notice that on October 3, 1973, CRA, Inc. (Petitioner), 3315 North Oak Trafficway, Kansas City, Missouri 64116, filed in Docket No. CI63-708 a petition to amend the order issuing a certificate of public convenience and necessity pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act in said docket by authorizing pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act and § 2.75 of the Commission's general policy and interpretations (18 CFR 2.75) the sale for resale and delivery of natural gas in interstate commerce to Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern), gathered from wells drilled since April 6, 1972, by Petitioner in the Velre Field, Schleicher County, Texas, all as more fully set forth in the petition to amend which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Petitioner proposes under the optional gas pricing procedure to sell approximately 4,000 Mcf of residue gas per month from the tailgate its Merton Plant located in the subject acreage to Northern at an initial rate of 31.0 cents per Mcf at 14.65 psia, subject to upward and downward Btu adjustment, pursuant to the terms of a March 7, 1973, amendment to the contract dated November 16, 1962, on file as Petitioner's FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 49. Said amendment provides for 75 percent reimbursement for any new or increased taxes greater than those being levied on the date of initial delivery, and the amendment provides for fixed escalations of 0.25 cent per Mcf each year after the date of initial delivery, and for a term of 20-years from the date of initial delivery.

Petitioner alleges that in the absence of the 31.0-cent per Mcf price the producers of raw gas will not be financially able to develop the additional gas reserves.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition to amend should on or before November 19, 1973, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-23280 Filed 10-31-73;8:45 am]

[Docket No. ID-1703]

DONALD L. RUSHFORD

Notice of Application

OCTOBER 24, 1973.

Take notice that on October 16, 1973, Donald L. Rushford (Applicant), filed a supplemental application pursuant to section 305(b) of the Federal Power Act seeking authority to hold the position of Vice President of Central Vermont Public Service Corporation.

The principal business of Central Vermont Public Service Corporation is the generation and purchase of electric energy and its transmission, distribution and sale for light, power, heat and other purposes to about 92,600 customers in Middlebury, Randolph, Rutland, Springfield, Windsor, Bradford, Bennington, Brattleboro, St. Johnsbury, St. Albans, Woodstock and 163 other towns and villages in Vermont.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to the application should on or before November 16, 1973, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, petitions or protests to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Persons wishing to become parties to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules. The application is on file with the Commission and available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.73-23263 Filed 10-31-73;8:45 am]

[Docket No. G-18615, et al.]

FLORIDA GAS TRANSMISSION CO. ET AL.

Notice of Application

OCTOBER 24, 1973.

Take notice that on September 27, 1973, Peoples Gas System, Inc. (Peoples), P.O. Box 855, Biscayne Annex, Miami, Florida 33152, filed an application in Docket No. G-18615 to amend the order of the Commission issued in said docket on August 9, 1961 (26 FPC 318), pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act authorizing the sale and delivery of natural gas by Houston Texas Gas and Oil Corporation, now Florida Gas Transmission Company (Florida), to Pompano Natural Gas Corporation (Pompano Natural) by authorizing said sale and delivery to be made to Peoples, ultimate successor to Pompano Natural, and in Docket No. CP74-84 pursuant to section 7(a) of the Natural Gas Act for an order of the Commission directing Florida to sell and deliver additional volumes of gas to Peoples, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Peoples states that subsequent to authorization of the service by Florida to